

# LAWSUITS AND INVESTIGATORS PROVE THAT FACEBOOK SPENT HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS RIGGING ELECTIONS

Thu, 22 Sep 2022 13:12:13, newstips66, [category: afghanistan, category: brotopia, category: community-service-programs, post\_tag: david-plouffe, category: elon-musk, category: energy-dept-slush-fund, category: facebook-meta, category: google-alphabet, category: hired-assassins, category: housing, category: idea-theft, post\_tag: lawsuits-and-investigators-prove-that-facebook-spent-hundreds-of-millions-rigging-elections, category: lithium-batteries, category: netflix, category: news-manipulation, category: patents-and-inventions, category: political-bribes, category: repairing\_silicon\_valley, category: san\_francisco\_corruption, category: senator-insider-trading, category: silicon\_valley\_death, category: sony\_pictures, category: stanford\_univ\_bribes, category: tesla\_motors\_lies\_and\_defects, category: web-spying, category: worldnews]

## Lawsuit against Mark Zuckerberg and wife Priscilla for 'pumping \$500m into swing states to help rig 2020 election, using ex-Obama staffer and fake tax-exempt voting rights groups'

- A think tank has filed a legal complaint against Mark Zuckerberg and Priscilla Chan
- Organization claims that Chan and Zuckerberg used donated money to attempt to sway the 2020 presidential election in now President Joe Biden's favor
- Center for Renewing America, the group that filed the complaints, allege that Zuckerberg hired a former Obama staffer to execute the scheme
- News of the complaints come just hours after it was reported that former President Donald Trump could return to Facebook in 2023

By [Hope Sloop](#)

filed a legal complaint against [Facebook](#) CEO [Mark Zuckerberg](#) and his wife Priscilla Chan over allegations they spent \$500 million to help [Joe Biden](#) win the 2020 election.

Chan and Zuckerberg are cited in one of two complaints filed with the Internal Revenue Service by the Center for Renewing America (CRA), a conservative nonprofit run by former deputy director of the Office of Management and Budget, Russell Vought.

[According to FOX Business](#), the complaints filed with the IRS allege that the high ranking Facebook officials attempted to sway the 2020 presidential election in favor of now President Joe Biden with \$500 million funneled through nonprofit, tax-exempt voting rights groups.

CRA says the donations should have been eligible for taxation, because they were used for electioneering.

CRA also filed a second complaints against the Center for Tech and Civic Life (CTCL), Center for Election Innovation and Research (CEIR) and National Vote at Home Institute (NVAHI), claiming that they played a major role in the scheme to help now President Biden win the vote.



•

Mark Zuckerberg and Priscilla Chan pictured together at an event

The complaints go on to argue that Zuckerberg hired former campaign manager for President Barack Obama, David Plouffe, to execute the scheme.

CRA believes that Chan and Zuckerberg created major million dollar grants and that Plouffe sent the money to democratic-leaning areas in swing states.

The think tank also alleges that Plouffe used the three nonprofit organizations to help pull off the plan.

Those are tax exempt, with the CRA saying that exemption was improper in the case of the grants, and that tax should have been paid on the donations, given the purpose they were allegedly used for.

In a statement shared with FOX Business, CRA said that Chan and Zuckerberg 'disguised the political nature of their donations' and called the alleged situation 'disgraceful.'



•

David Plouffe, former campaign manager for former President Barack Obama

"It is beyond disgraceful to imagine federal taxpayers subsidizing the partisan preferences of billionaires who easily could have given to a Democrat super PAC in 2020," the think tank said in their statement.

"But, of course, then they would not have been able to take a tax deduction, so they disguised the political nature of their donations and shuffled them through 'charitable' intermediaries, making ordinary Americans foot the bill," the CRA continued.

Vought, who was appointed to the position by then President Donald Trump, was endorsed by the one term president after announcing the launch of the organization.

"Russell Vought did a fabulous job in my administration, and I have no doubt he will do a great job in continuing our quest to make America great again," [Trump said in a statement released in 2021](#).

Former President Donald Trump, who was kicked off Instagram and Facebook in June 2021

The news comes just hours after Semafor Media broke a story claiming that Trump, who has been banned on Meta-owned sites, [could return to Facebook and Instagram once his two year suspension ends](#).

Former President Trump was taken off of the apps in June 2021 after an investigation into whether his posts ignited the deadly January 6, 2021 insurrection at the United States Capitol.

Nick Clegg, president of global affairs for Facebook parent company Meta, [recently spoke with Semafor Media](#) at an event in Washington, D.C., in which he said that the company will evaluate the situation before making a decision.

"If we think there is content on our platform which will lead to real-world harm — physical harm — then we feel we have a clear responsibility to act against it." Clegg said.



•  
Meta, the parent company of Facebook, displays their logo outside headquarters

In filing their complaints, CRA hopes that their claims will prompt further investigation into Chan and Zuckerberg, as well as the nonprofits.

"We leave to the Service to determine whether there is enough evidence to sustain a criminal investigation into Chan or Zuckerberg for tax fraud," the CRA complaint stated, according to FOX Business. Twitter and Facebook worked with Google to rig elections. Twitter's Rani Nelkin is under investigation for using Natural Language Programming (NLP) to create DeepFake text that manipulated voters thoughts and impressions.

"Nevertheless, at the very least, it is incumbent upon the IRS to recoup what is likely a false tax deduction on a roughly hundred-million-dollar order of magnitude: an unlawful taxpayer subsidy running to support Democrat electioneering purposes."